Hands-on education for real-world achievement.

Mechanical Engineering Technology Oregon Institute of Technology 2015-16 Annual Assessment Report

I. Introduction

The Bachelor of Science program in Mechanical Engineering Technology is offered in three locations Klamath Falls, Wilsonville, and at the Seattle campus for Boeing employees. In Klamath Falls and Seattle the entire program is offered; the Wilsonville campus offers a degree-completion program (i.e. only Junior and Senior courses are offered, the lower-division courses are expected to be taken at a community college). During the years 2004-2015, fall term full and part-time enrollment ranged from 75 to 147, with a high during 2005 of 147 students. Fall term 2015 enrollment was 104 full and part-time students in MET. During the 2014-15 year, 17 students graduated, and 21 graduates are expected in 2016. Data derived from a

There is an additional outcome identified through the ABET MET specific criteria. This outcome is: MET a: Baccalaureate degree programs must demonstrate that graduates can apply specific program principles to the analysis, design, development, implementation, or oversight of more advanced mechanical systems or processes depending on program orientation and the needs of their constituents.

III. Three-Year Cycle for Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes The faculty planned a three-

shown in Table 2 below:

Student Learning Outcome	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
a. an ability to select and apply the knowledge, techniques,	l		l

3

IV. Summary of 2015-16 Assessment Activities

The Mechanical Engineering Technology faculty conducted formal assessment of four student learning outcomes during 2015-16. These four outcomes have been mapped to the curriculum as shown in Appendix A. The four outcomes are Outcome e " an ability to function effectively as a member or leader on a technical team an understanding of and a commitment to address professional and ethical responsibilities including a respect for diversity a knowledge of the impact of engineering technology solutions in a societal and global context A commitment to quality, timeliness, and continuous improvement

Identify/achieve	Rubric,	1-4	80% score 3 or 4	100%
goal/purpose	team	proficiency		
	project	scale		
Assume roles and	Rubric,	1-4	80% score 3 or 4	100%
responsibilities as appropriate	team	proficiency		
	project	scale		
Interacts appropriately with	Rubric,	1-4	80% score 3 or 4	100%
team/group members	team	proficiency		
	project	scale		
Recognize and help reconcile	Rubric,	1-4	80% score 3 or 4	100%
differences among	team	proficiency		
team/group members	project	scale		
Share appropriately in work	Rubric,	1-4	80% score 3 or 4	92%
of team/group.	team	proficiency		
	project	scale		

Develop strategies for effective action.

Rubric, team project 1-4 proficiency scale



Direct Assessment #3 Wilsonville Campus The faculty assessed this outcome in ENGR 111 Engineering Orientation, fall term 2015, using a rubric-graded ethics based exam/assignment. There were 9 Mechanical Engineering Technology students involved in the assessment. The results are shown in Table 10 below.

			Minimum	
Performance Criteria	Assessment	Measurement	Acceptable	Results
	Method	Scale	Performance	
Knowledge of professional code	Rubric-graded	1 to 4	80% score 3 or	78%
of ethics	assignment	proficiency	4	
Describes ethics issue(s)	Rubric-graded	1 to 4	80% score 3 or	78%
	assignment	proficiency	4	
Describes parties involved and	Rubric-graded	1 to 4	80% score 3 or	78%
points of view	assignment	proficiency	4	
Describes and analyzes	Rubric-graded	1 to 4	80% score 3 or	89%
possible/alternative approaches	assignment	proficiency	4	
Chooses an approach and				

9

Share appropriately in work	Rubric,
of team/group.	team
	project

Direct Assessment #1 Klamath Campus The faculty assessed this outcome in MET 490 Senior Project I fall term 2015, using a rubric-graded assignment. There were three Mechanical Engineering Technology students involved in the assessment. The results are shown in Table 13 below.

Performance Criteria	Assessment Method	Measurement Scale	Minimum Acceptable Performance	Results

Direct Assessment #3 Wilsonville Campus

The faculty assessed this outcome in MET 313 Applied Thermodynamics, spring 2016, using a rubricgraded assignment. There were 7 Mechanical Engineering Technology students involved in the assessment. The results are shown in Table 15 below.

Performance Criteria	Assessment Method	Measurement Scale	Minimum Acceptable Performance	Results
Understands the global impact of engineering decisions.	Rubric-graded assignment	1 to 4 proficiency scale	80% score 3 or 4	100%
Understands the macro- economic impact of engineering solutions.	Rubric-graded assignment	1 to 4 proficiency scale	80% score 3 or 4	100%
Understands the environmental and the social impact of engineering decisions.	Rubric-graded assignment	1 to 4 proficiency	80% score 3 or 4	100%

Table 15. Assessment Results for SLO j, Spring 2016, Wilsonville Campus

Strengths: Completed the assignment with little to no preparation or understanding.

Weaknesses: Following instructions.

Actions: Plan more lecture material and assignments geared toward emphasizing the importance of these aspects. Put more grade weighting to these topics as they are related to the assignment for students to put more effort into research.

Direct Assessment #4 Wilsonville Campus

The faculty assessed this outcome in MET 491 Senior Project, winter 2016, using a rubric-graded assignment. There were 6 Mechanical Engineering Technology students involved in the assessment. The results are shown in Table 15 below.

Performance Criteria	Assessment Method	Measurement Scale	Minimum Acceptable Performance	Results
Understands the global impact of engineering decisions.	Rubric-graded assignment	1 to 4 proficiency scale	80% score 3 or 4	100%
Understands the macro- economic impact of engineering solutions.	Rubric-graded assignment	1 to 4 proficiency scale	80% score 3 or 4	100%
Understands the environmental and the social impact of engineering decisions.	Rubric-graded assignment	1 to 4 proficiency scale	80% score 3 or 4	100%

Table 15. Assessment Results for SLO j, Spring 2016, Wilsonville Campus

Strengths: work experience and in

Weaknesses: Some students treat assignments lightly and put low effort into quality / accountability.

Actions: None recommended.

Direct Assessment #2 Klamath Campus

Second direct assessment was assigned to MET 351 but there was some confusion as the same assignment

repeated during next cycle.

Direct Assessment #4 Wilsonville Campus

The faculty assessed this outcome in MET 407, fall term 2015, using multiple rubric-graded assignments. There were 14 Mechanical Engineering Technology student involved in the assessment. The results are shown in Table 19 below.

Performance Criteria	Assessment Method	Measurement Scale	Minimum Acceptable Performance	Results
Quality/Professionalism of work (course expectations)	Rubric-graded assignments	1 to 4 proficiency scale	80% score 3 or 4	100%
Quality/Professionalism of work (final product)	Rubric-graded assignments	1 to 4 pro26.09 471.55		

MET426 Students demonstrated a willingness to talk with each other, to discuss ideas, and to accept others' suggestions.

MET492 Students performed well on overall teamwork.

<u>Weaknesses</u>

Klamath:

MET 426 None reported.

MET 492 Although the MET student performed well, other students in MMET had difficulties with the

about their ability to assess the performance of individual students in a team based project.

Wilsonville:

MET426 the students demonstrated satisfactory team work spirit..

MET492 None demonstrated

<u>Actions</u>

Klamath:

Actions: Program faculty will redesign this assessment and create a new teamwork rubric that will better evaluate individual student performance.

Wilsonville:

MET426 None.

MET492 None2n@4dedG()]TJETETQq0.00000912 0 612 792 reW*nBT/F5 11.04 Tf1 0 0 1 199.49 364.63 2.04 0 G()]TJ

SLO i. an understanding of and a commitment to address professional and ethical responsibilities including a respect for diversity

<u>Strengths</u>

Klamath:

ENGR111 Successful identification of stakeholders, alternative resolution scenarios, ethical/ve rs, alternative resolution S

MET314 Plan more lecture material and assignments geared toward emphasizing the importance of these aspects. Put more grade weighting to these topics as they are related to the assignment for students to put more effort into research.

SLO k. A commitment to quality, timeliness, and continuous improvement

<u>Strengths</u>

Klamath: MFG314 Improvement in work/drawings and adherence to schedules/due dates.

Wilsonville: MET 407

APPENDIX A: Curriculum Maps for Assessing Student Outcomes Mechanical Engineering Technology (MET) SLO-Curriculum Map e. an ability to function effectively as a member or leader on a technical team

I = Introduced R = Reinforced E = Emphasized

Mechanical Engineering Technology (MET) SLO- Mechanical Engineering Technology (MET) SLO-

		20101					05/2016				
s Ning SLOVER		Situ Emisteria	Wite	SI GILGI		Sitter S	- Hereiter	-U	-SLAN	Kourser -	inera Jind
leader on a technical te	am						FTAC	-e: An abili	ity to funct	tion effectiv	ely.as <u>a me</u> i
	:a <u>i</u> g							-y. Ali abili			
		Fall							MB		
t Brian		Winter									V16 Tea S16 Sri
Brian, Don, Joe		Spring On T-Drive	9	Sr Surv	vey S16	Onl	ine survey	,			S16 Sr I S16 Onl
У				101 001	icy [310	Tolli	ine aurvey			arrey pe	
									MET	г	
	(C. 2000 (C. 10)				Sector Street		1	<u>'</u>			
S18 Sr Project S18 Online surve	Brian, Don, Joe	6 MET492 Sr Survey	018 018	Sr. Project Online surve	Dr. Wolf		urvey	518	Online sur	101	MET Gr G
Total Comme Son ve	y	or our vey	10110	Tomine surve	У	0.10	чтүсу	1910	while sur	vey	
											MFG
										1911-52	
Project Brian, Don, Jo			Project	Dr. Wolf	Sr Survey	\$16	Onlin			MFG46 Sr Surv	
ine survey	Sr Survey	S16 On	lline survey		Si Survey	S16	Onli	ne survey		or Sull	-cy (516
of and a commitment t	o address profe	essional and e	thical respo	onsibilities ir	ncluding a re	spect fo	r diversit	ty.			i: An unders
f professional and ethi	cal responsibili	ty.				_	_			EAC-f:	An underst
							_				
signment Jeff										ENGR1	11 F15
	21 1 24 5				1						0.2-49/4 (1198
Online survey					Sr Sur	vey	S16	Online surv	vey	Sr	Survey
	51105 4 4									M	ET
	MET491	W16	Sr Project		n loe MET/		W16	Sr. Projec			
			NT AN ASIA				<u> </u>		nigen george		
	second Torr							MFG			
	Rece Strift T										J MI CH
1991A C RELEASE C C	in Survey S16	Online s		S (Protection)	n Survey	S16	Online s			Sr Survey	
AG- <u>i: A knowledge of t</u> h	in Survey Sti e impact of eng	Online s	urvev nology.solu	tions in a.so	r Survey cietal and o					Sr Survey	
AG- <u>i: A knowledge of t</u> h	in Survey Sti e impact of eng	OTO- Online s ineering.tech	urvev nology.solu	tions in a.so	r Survey cietal and o					Sr Survey	
AG- <u>i: A knowledge of th</u>	in Survey Sti e impact of eng	OTO- Online s ineering.tech	urvev nology.solu	tions in a.so	r Survey cietal and o				IV)E	Sr Survey	JMR+ S16
IN Don, Joe	in Survey Sti e impact of eng	OTO- Online s ineering.tech	urvev nology.solu	tions in a.so	r Survey cietal and o				MECH490	F15	J MI CR
IN Don, Joe	in Survey Sti e impact of eng	OTO- Online s ineering.tech	urvev nology.solu	tions in a.so	r Survey cietal and o				IV)E	Sr Survey	JMR+ S16
In Don, Joe	Survey Still	OTO- Online s ineering.tech	urvev nology.solu	tions in a.so	r Survey cietal and o				IV E MECH490	Sr Survey	J M G
In, Don, Joe	Interior State				r Survey ncietal and, n				IV E MECH490 TP31/3 k ^o	Sr Survey	J M G
n, Don, Joe	Netrone Conversion	S Sr Proje	aurvev nolgov.solu ect Bria	n, Don, Joe	r Survey cietal and o	W16	Sr. Proj	ect Dr.	Wolf	Sr Survey	J M G
n, Don, Joe	Interior State	S Sr Proje	aurvev nolgov.solu ect Bria	n, Don, Joe	I Survey cietal and, ci I Survey cietal and, ci I Survey I Su			ect Dr.	IV E MECH490 TP3133 k? -	Sr Survey	J M G
n, Don, Joe	Netrone Conversion	S Sr Proje	aurvev nolgov.solu ect Bria	n, Don, Joe	I Survey cietal and, ci I Survey cietal and, ci I Survey I Su	W16	Sr. Proj	ect Dr.	Wolf	Sr Survey	J M G
n, Don, Joe	AET AET AET AET AET AET AET AET	S Sr Proje	ect Bria ment Bria survey	n, Don, Joe	IET491	W16 S16	Sr. Proj Assigni Online s	ect Dr. ment D. F	WECH490 D312389 Wolf Falcon	Sr Survey	J M G
n, Don, Joe	AET AET AET AET AET AET AET AET	5 Sr Proje 6 Assign 6 Online 1	ect Bria survey	n, Don, Joe II n n n, Songelia n, Songelia n, Songelia n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	IET491	W16 S16	Sr. Proj Assigni Online s	ect Dr. ment D. F.	Wolf	Sr Survey	J M G
n. Don, Joe	AET AET AET AET AET AET AET AET	S Sr Proje	ect Bria ment Bria survey sites - Dire S18	n, Don, Joe	IET491	W16 S16 MFG	Sr. Proj Assigni Online s	ect Dr. ment D. F	WECH490 D312389 Wolf Falcon	Sr Survey	J M G
n, Don, Joe	AET AET AET AET AET AET AET AET	S Ontpas S Ontpas S Ontpas S Sr Proje S Sr Proje 6 Assign 6 Online t 1// top - fill Reit MFG344	ect Bria ment Bria survey sites - Dire S18	n, Don, Joe Name Name Name Name Name Name Name Nam	IET491	W16 S16 MFG	Sr. Proj Assigni Online s	ect Dr. ment D. F survey	Wolf Falcon Assignmen	Sr Survey	J M C+
In Don, Joe	AET AET AET AET AET AET AET AET	5 Sr Proje 6 Assign 6 Online 1 7 Sr Proje 6 Assign 7 Sr Srry 8 Sr Stry 8 Sr Stry 9 ETAC-k: A col	ect Bria survey strates - Dirit	n, Don, Joe Name Name Name Name Name Name Name Nam	ET491 IET491 IET491 IET491 IET313 ir Survey	W16 S16 MFG: Sr St	Sr. Proj Assigni Online s 244 Jurvey	ect Dr. ment D. F survey	Wolf Falcon	Sr Survey	J M C+
In Don, Joe	AET AET AET AET AET AET AET AET	S Sr Proje 6 Assign 6 Online 1 5 Sr Proje 6 Assign 8 Online 1 6 Online 1 6 Nine 2 7 Sr Sr Very	ect Bria survey strates - Dirit	n, Don, Joe Name Name Name Name Name Name Name Nam	ET491 IET491 IET491 IET491 IET313 ir Survey	W16 S16 MFG: Sr St	Sr. Proj Assigni Online s 244 Jurvey	ect Dr. ment D. F survey	Wolf Falcon	Sr Survey	J M C+
S18 Online survey	AET AET AET AET AET AET AET AET	5 Sr Proje 6 Assign 6 Online 1 7 Sr Proje 6 Assign 7 Sr Srry 8 Sr Stry 8 Sr Stry 9 ETAC-k: A col	ect Bria survey strate	n, Don, Joe Name Name Name Name Name Name Name Nam	ET491 IET491 IET491 IET491 IET313 ir Survey	W16 S16 MFG: Sr St	Sr. Proj Assigni Online s 244 Jurvey	ect Dr. ment D. F survey	Wolf Falcon	Sr Survey	J M C+
In Don, Joe	AET AET AET AET AET AET AET AET	5 Sr Proje 6 Assign 6 Online 1 7 Sr Proje 6 Assign 7 Sr Srry 8 Sr Stry 8 Sr Stry 9 ETAC-k: A col	ect Bria survey strate	n, Don, Joe Name Name Name Name Name Name Name Nam	ET491 IET491 IET491 IET491 IET313 ir Survey	W16 S16 MFG: Sr St	Sr. Proj Assigni Online s 244 Jurvey	ect Dr. ment D. F survey	Wolf Falcon	Sr Survey	J M C+
AG- <u>i</u> . A knowledge of the second sec	AET AET AET AET AET AET AET AET	5 Sr Proje 6 Assign 6 Online 1 7 Sr Proje 6 Assign 7 Sr Srry 8 Sr Stry 8 Sr Stry 9 ETAC-k: A col	ect Bria survey strate	n, Don, Joe Name Name Name Name Name Name Name Nam	ET491 IET491 IET491 IET491 IET313 ir Survey	W16 S16 MFG: Sr St	Sr. Proj Assigni Online s 344 urvey	ect Dr. ment D. F survey	Wolf Falcon	Sr Survey	Sr Project
S18 Online survey	AET AET AET AET AET AET AET AET	5 Sr Proje 6 Assign 6 Online 1 7 Sr Proje 6 Assign 7 Sr Srry 8 Sr Stry 8 Sr Stry 9 ETAC-k: A col	ect Bria survey strate	n, Don, Joe Name Name Name Name Name Name Name Nam	ET491 IET491 IET491 IET491 IET313 ir Survey	W16 W16 S16 MFG: Sr St d continu	Sr. Proj Assigni Online s 344 urvey	ect Dr. ment D. F survey	Wolf Falcon Online surv	F15 F15 F15 Sr Survey Sr Survey Sr Survey	S16
S15 Online survey	AET AET AET AET AET AET AET AET	5 Sr Proje 6 Assign 6 Online 1 7 Sr Proje 6 Assign 7 Sr Srry 8 Sr Stry 8 Sr Stry 9 ETAC-k: A col	ect Bria survey strate	n, Don, Joe Name Name Name Name Name Name Name Nam	ET491 IET491 IET491 IET491 IET313 ir Survey	W16 W16 S16 MFG: Sr St d continu	Sr. Proj Assigni Online s 344 urvey	ect Dr. ment D. F survey	Wolf Falcon Online surv	F15 F15 F15 Sr Survey Sr Survey Sr Survey	Sr Project
S15 Online survey	AET AET AET AET AET AET AET AET	5 Sr Proje 6 Assign 6 Online 1 7 Sr Proje 6 Assign 7 Sr Srry 8 Sr Stry 8 Sr Stry 9 ETAC-k: A col	ect Bria survey strate	n, Don, Joe Name Name Name Name Name Name Name Nam	ET491 IET491 IET491 IET491 IET313 ir Survey	W16 W16 S16 MFG: Sr St d continu	Sr. Proj Assigni Online s 344 urvey	ect Dr. ment D. F survey	Wolf Falcon Online surv	F15 F15 F15 Sr Survey Sr Survey Sr Survey	Sr Project
S15 Online survey	MET MET MET MET MET MET MET MEG MEG MEG MEG	5 Sr Proje 6 Assign 6 Online 1 7 Sr Proje 6 Assign 7 Sr Srry 8 Sr Stry 8 Sr Stry 9 ETAC-k: A col	ect Bria ment Bria survey	n, Don, Joe II Assignment Donine survey to quality, tim	IET491 IET491 IET491 IET313 ir Survey	W16 W16 S16 MFG Sr St d continu	Sr. Proj Assigni Online s 344 urvey	ect Dr. ment D. F survey	Wolf Falcon Control Control Co	Sr Survey	Sr Project

Appendix D: Schedule of Assessment Activities (data collection and course/faculty assignments)